Influence of the surface roughness of graphene-based biosensing platforms for the living cells functional state monitoring

Hamza Rehman¹, Lena Golubewa²

¹ Institute of Photonics, University of Eastern Finland, Yliopistokatu 2, FI-80100 Joensuu, Finland, ² Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, Sauletekio Ave. 3, LT-10257 Vilnius, Lithuania hamza.rehman@uef.fi

Biosensors are devices that measures the extent of biological activity and translates it into electrical signals. A lot of interest is being put into the research and development of biosensor for the functional state monitoring of the living cells [1]. Great focus has been placed on studies related to the material's interaction with the living cells, and the development of various suitable bio-platforms. The efficacy of these platforms is strongly connected to material's 1) physical properties (elasticity, stiffness, roughness etc.), 2) morphology, thickness, topography), and biochemical properties macro, micro and nano 3) (surface charge. hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity, etc. [2].

In this work we have compared various substrates deposited with graphene and its derivative, nanometrically thin Pyrolytic carbon (PyC), for the cultivation of living cells. Experiments were done with C6 glioma cells, and we discovered that PyC coating is a promising technique for controlling the cell proliferation and directional intercellular contact (Fig.1). The ease with which the nano topography and the physical/chemical properties of the PyC films can be controlled makes it a suitable interface in the development of biosensors and 3D bio scaffolds (Fig.2).




Fig. 1. Glioma cells were grown on (a) plastic (as a control); (b) bSi; (c) PyC (20 nm) on bSi; (d) PyC (20 nm) on SiO₂; (e) PyC (40 nm) on SiO₂; (f) graphene nano-walls




Fig. 2. Typical two-dimensional AFM and SEM surface images of PyC of 20 nm (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}) and 40 nm thickness (\mathbf{g} , \mathbf{h}) on SiO₂, bSi (\mathbf{d} , \mathbf{e}), PyC of 20 nm on bSi (\mathbf{j} , \mathbf{k}), respectively. The cross-sectional profiles are marked with a white line on the AFM images (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{d} , \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{j}).

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by H2020 RISE DiSeTCom Project 823728.

References

- [1] Haizhou Huang, Shi Su, Nan Wu, Hao Wan, Shu Wan, Hengchang Bi, Litao Sun, Frontiers in Chemistry, 2019
- [2] Lena Golubewa, Hamza Rehman, Tatsiana Kulahava, Renata Karpicz, Marian Baah, MDPI sensors, 2020, 20(18)